### **SECTION 1: CIA SUMMARY**



## Community Impact Assessment: Summary

1. Name of service, policy, function or criteria being assessed:

Chief Officer Pay Policy

2. What are the main objectives or aims of the service/policy/function/criteria?

To introduce a new director grade into the Chief Officer grade structure.

To harmonise the number of pay levels within grades.

To bring together in one document details of all the pay arrangements for Chief Officers.

3. Name and Job Title of person completing assessment:

Judith Bennett, Pay, Reward and Policy Manager

4. Have any impacts
been Identified?
(Yes/ <del>No</del> )

Yes

# Community of Identity affected:

Employees covered by the Joint Negotiating Committee for Local Authority Chief Officers. Currently at time of writing this is 16 employees.

#### **Summary of impact:**

The policy sets out the framework for establishing grades and pay levels for Chief Officers. It ensures consistency and reduces the potential for discrimination.

**5. Date CIA completed:** 26<sup>th</sup> February 2019

6. Signed off by:

Su Hayd

7. I am satisfied that this service/policy/function has been successfully impact assessed.

Name: Ian Floyd

**Position**: Deputy Chief Executive & Director of Customer and Corporate Services

Date:

8. Decision-making body: Date: Decision Details: Full Council 21st March 2019

Send the completed signed off document to <a href="mailto:ciasubmission@york.gov.uk">ciasubmission@york.gov.uk</a> It will be published on the intranet, as well as on the council website.

Actions arising from the Assessments will be logged on Verto and progress updates will be required



# **Community Impact Assessment (CIA)**

**Community Impact Assessment Title:** 

**Chief Officer Pay Policy** 

What evidence is available to suggest that the proposed service, policy, function or criteria could have a negative (N), positive (P) or no (None) effect on quality of life outcomes? (Refer to guidance for further details)

Can negative impacts be justified? For example: improving community cohesion; complying with other legislation or enforcement duties; taking positive action to address imbalances or under-representation; needing to target a particular community or group e.g. older people. NB. Lack of financial resources alone is NOT justification!

Community of Identity: Age						
Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)				
Reduces potential for discrimination in pay on the basis of age.						
	None	Р				
R	Quality of Life Indicators  Reduces potential for discrimination in	Quality of Life Indicators  Customer Impact (N/P/None)  Reduces potential for discrimination in pay on the basis of age.				

Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

Community of Identity: Carers of Older or Disabled People					
Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)	
The policy sets a clear grading and pay structure Officer roles. Grading is based on objective as jobs using a tried and tested job evaluation sch	sessment of	Reduces potential of discrimination in pay due to caring responsibilities.			
Pay rates and rules are clearly set out in the policy along with other elements of pay applicable to Chief Officers.			None	Р	
Pay decisions can only be made within the policy and with appropriate authorisation.					
Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date	

Community of Identity: Disability						
Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)		
The policy sets a clear grading and pay structure Officer roles. Grading is based on objective assigned using a tried and tested job evaluation school Pay rates and rules are clearly set out in the powith other elements of pay applicable to Chief Pay decisions can only be made within the policy appropriate authorisation.	sessment of neme. Olicy along Officers.	Reduces potential of discrimination in pay due to disability.	None	Р		
Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date		

Community of Identity: Gender					
Evidence	Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)		
The policy sets a clear grading and pay structure for Chief Officer roles. Grading is based on objective assessment of	Reduces potential for discrimination in pay on the basis of gender.	None	Р		

jobs using a tried and tested job evaluation scheme.				
Pay rates and rules are clearly set out in the p with other elements of pay applicable to Chie				
Pay decisions can only be made within the policy and with appropriate authorisation.				
Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

Community of Identity: Gender Reassignment						
Evidence	Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)			
The policy sets a clear grading and pay structure for Chief Officer roles. Grading is based on objective assessment of jobs using a tried and tested job evaluation scheme.	Reduces potential for discrimination in pay on the basis of gender reassignment.					
Pay rates and rules are clearly set out in the policy along with other elements of pay applicable to Chief Officers.		None	Р			
Pay decisions can only be made within the policy and with appropriate authorisation.						

Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

Community of Identity: Marriage & Civil Partnership					
Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)	
The policy sets a clear grading and pay structure for Chief Officer roles. Grading is based on objective assessment of jobs using a tried and tested job evaluation scheme.  Pay rates and rules are clearly set out in the policy along with other elements of pay applicable to Chief Officers.  Pay decisions can only be made within the policy and with appropriate authorisation.		Reduces potential for discrimination in pay on the basis of marriage & civil partnerships.	None	Р	
Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date	

Community of Identity: Pregnancy / Maternity							
Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)			
The policy does not cover pregnancy or maternity related policy or procedures.			None	None			
Details of Impact impacts be justified?		Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date			

Community of Identity: Race				
Evidence	Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)	
The policy sets a clear grading and pay structure for Chief Officer roles. Grading is based on objective assessment of jobs using a tried and tested job evaluation scheme.  Pay rates and rules are clearly set out in the policy along	Reduces potential for discrimination in pay on the basis of race.	None	Р	

with other elements of pay applicable to Chief Officers.  Pay decisions can only be made within the policy and with appropriate authorisation.				
Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

Community of Identity: Religion / Spirituality / Belief				
Evidence	Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)	
The policy sets a clear grading and pay structure for Chief Officer roles. Grading is based on objective assessment of jobs using a tried and tested job evaluation scheme.	Reduces potential for discrimination in pay on the basis of religion/spirituality/belief.			
Pay rates and rules are clearly set out in the policy along with other elements of pay applicable to Chief Officers.  Pay decisions can only be made within the policy and with appropriate authorisation.		None	Р	

Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

Community of Identity: Sexual Orientation				
Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
The policy sets a clear grading and pay structure for Chief Officer roles. Grading is based on objective assessment of jobs using a tried and tested job evaluation scheme. Pay rates and rules are clearly set out in the policy along with other elements of pay applicable to Chief Officers. Pay decisions can only be made within the policy and with appropriate authorisation.		Reduces potential for discrimination in pay on the basis of sexual orientation.	None	P
Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date